





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/977,938	12/12/2001		John Marshall Armstrong		3984	
7.	590	11/27/2002				
Marshall Arm			EXAMINER			
367 Mill Stream Rd. Lexington, SC 29072				RADA, A	RADA, ALEX P	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	3714	<b>.</b> ,	
				DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/977,938	ARMSTRONG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alex P. Rada	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec</u>	cember 12, 2001 Paper # 3						
,	, <del>-</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)  Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4					

Art Unit: 3714

#### DETAILED ACTION

### **Drawings**

1. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81.

No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-3 recite the limitation "the electronic redemption pinball machine" in line 1 of claim 1, in line 1, of claim 2, and in line 3, of claim 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the program" in line 2, of claim 2 and in line 2, of claim 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the machine" in line 1, of claim 2 and in line 2, or claim 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 1 and 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Art Unit: 3714

اك

Page 3

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kelly '426.
- 6. Kelly discloses a electronic redemption machine separating credits that are won (figure 5a) from credits that are paid for (redemption tickets).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) a patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly `426 in view of LeStrange `079.
- 9. Kelly discloses an electronic redemption machine separating credits that are won (figure 5a) from credits that are paid for (printed redemption tickets) except for the credits that are won along with credits that are paid for in jurisdiction in which the same is legally permissible and including the installation of a fabricated metal security box containing a dollar bill validator.

  LeStrange teaches credits that are won along with credits that are paid for in jurisdiction in which the same is legally permissible and including the installation of a fabricated metal security box

Art Unit: 3714

د.

containing a dollar bill validator. By having separate accounts for different jurisdictions, one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to provide a monitoring and accounting system the records different monetary values for accurate accounting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kelly to include credits that are won along with credits that are paid for in jurisdiction in which the same is legally permissible and including the installation of a fabricated metal security box containing a dollar bill validator as taught by LeStrange. To do so would be able to provide accurate accounting system to any type of gamine system.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Katamoto `794 discloses a carousel of rotating arms provides a circular excursion, raising and lowering the arms at fixed location in excursion.

Kelly `611 discloses a redemption-type arcade game apparatus with a game token return.

Ludovico FR '820 discloses a dispenser having two dispensing members actuated by a handle, the movement that controlled by a token system for dispensing of sweets, towards a game with prize, which is similar to a pinball machine.

Edwall `485 discloses a pinball game having a game play determined primarily by time rather than the number of balls played.

Yingst EP '451 discloses a ping ball game having multiple playfields.

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alex P. Rada whose telephone number is 703-308-7135. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular

communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

apr

November 26, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5